Zonecheck, testing a DNS zone

Stéphane Bortzmeyer AFNIC (".fr" registry) bortzmeyer@nic.fr

16 november 2006



Permission is granted to copy, distribute and/or modify this document under the terms of the GNU Free Documentation License http://www.gnu.org/licenses/licenses.html#FDL, Version 1.2 or any later version published by the Free Software Foundation; with no Invariant Sections, no Front-Cover Texts, and no Back-Cover Texts.

• To make sure it works,

- To make sure it works,
- To make sure it works fast (no timeouts or retransmissions).

- To make sure it works,
- To make sure it works fast (no timeouts or retransmissions).

It is not because "it works" that everything is perfect.

See Ilya Sukhar's slides about the consequences of bad delegation.

We started designing the new Zonecheck in 2002 (version 2, a program by the same name, but completely different, existed before).

We started designing the new Zonecheck in 2002 (version 2, a program by the same name, but completely different, existed before).

The requirements for the new version were:

• Command-line (so it can be run everywhere) and Web tool,

We started designing the new Zonecheck in 2002 (version 2, a program by the same name, but completely different, existed before).

- Command-line (so it can be run everywhere) and Web tool,
- Free software,

We started designing the new Zonecheck in 2002 (version 2, a program by the same name, but completely different, existed before).

- Command-line (so it can be run everywhere) and Web tool,
- Free software,
- General tool, not a small ad-hoc hack,

We started designing the new Zonecheck in 2002 (version 2, a program by the same name, but completely different, existed before).

- Command-line (so it can be run everywhere) and Web tool,
- Free software,
- General tool, not a small ad-hoc hack,
- Separated policy and engine (more on that later).

Developed by Stéphane d'Alu,

- Developed by Stéphane d'Alu,
- Written in Ruby,

- Developed by Stéphane d'Alu,
- Written in Ruby,
- Available under the GPL free licence, a very important point, since it allows people to run it at their site and to do the same tests as AFNIC does (administrators of zones under ".fr" are encouraged to run ZC before submitting their request for creation/modification),

- Developed by Stéphane d'Alu,
- Written in Ruby,
- Available under the GPL free licence, a very important point, since it allows people to run it at their site and to do the same tests as AFNIC does (administrators of zones under ".fr" are encouraged to run ZC before submitting their request for creation/modification),
- Hosted at the hosting service Savannah,

- Developed by Stéphane d'Alu,
- Written in Ruby,
- Available under the GPL free licence, a very important point, since it allows people to run it at their site and to do the same tests as AFNIC does (administrators of zones under ".fr" are encouraged to run ZC before submitting their request for creation/modification),
- Hosted at the hosting service Savannah,
- Completely IPv4 and IPv6,

- Developed by Stéphane d'Alu,
- Written in Ruby,
- Available under the GPL free licence, a very important point, since it allows people to run it at their site and to do the same tests as AFNIC does (administrators of zones under ".fr" are encouraged to run ZC before submitting their request for creation/modification),
- Hosted at the hosting service Savannah,
- Completely IPv4 and IPv6,
- Used in daily production at AFNIC since.

Engine, not policy

Zonecheck is an engine, not a policy

This is probably the main feature of Zonecheck: unlike all the other similar tools, the policy is not hardwired in the code.

Engine, not policy

Zonecheck is an engine, not a policy

This is probably the main feature of Zonecheck: unlike all the other similar tools, the policy is not hardwired in the code.

The code defines all the tests you **can** run, the configuration file defines the subset of the tests that you **do** run and their result (fatal error or just a warning).

Example of configuration

```
<check name="icmp" severity="w" category="connectivity:13"/>
<check name="udp" severity="f" category="connectivity:14"/>
<check name="tcp" severity="f" category="connectivity:14"/>
```

Example of configuration

```
<check name="icmp" severity="w" category="connectivity:13"/>
<check name="udp" severity="f" category="connectivity:14"/>
<check name="tcp" severity="f" category="connectivity:14"/>
```

A program can translate this configuration file to HTML, for the information of the users.

AFNIC uses Zonecheck **prior** to every delegation. One fatal error and the domain is not created. (Every name server change triggers a Zonecheck, too.)

AFNIC uses Zonecheck **prior** to every delegation. One fatal error and the domain is not created. (Every name server change triggers a Zonecheck, too.)

The policy is quite strict. A few examples:

- TCP connectivity is mandatory,
- If the server is recursive, a lot of tests occur (such as whether the loopback address is delegated in in-addr.arpa).

AFNIC uses Zonecheck **prior** to every delegation. One fatal error and the domain is not created. (Every name server change triggers a Zonecheck, too.)

The policy is quite strict. A few examples:

- TCP connectivity is mandatory,
- If the server is recursive, a lot of tests occur (such as whether the loopback address is delegated in in-addr.arpa).

As a side effect, this creates a large number of support tickets (that may be used to measure the current skills level of some registrars:-) and (without smiley) the current level of competence of many DNS administrators

AFNIC uses Zonecheck **prior** to every delegation. One fatal error and the domain is not created. (Every name server change triggers a Zonecheck, too.)

As a side effect, this creates a large number of support tickets (that may be used to measure the current skills level of some registrars:-) and (without smiley) the current level of competence of many DNS administrators

But it makes a much better zone and strongly diminishes the post-registration complaints of the type "My site does not work".

Lessons for IANA checks

Context: IANA asks for comments about delegation checks (http://www.icann.org/announcements/announcement-18aug06.htm

[Generally speaking, the quality of DNS delegation is a very common issue today.]

Lessons for IANA checks

Context: IANA asks for comments about delegation checks (http://www.icann.org/announcements/announcement-18aug06.htm

[Generally speaking, the quality of DNS delegation is a very common issue today.]

Many registries (CENTR, ccNSO) asked that such tests must be clearly described, and executed in a predictable way. An automatic tool, such as Zonecheck, fulfills these requirements.

Lessons for IANA checks

Context: IANA asks for comments about delegation checks (http://www.icann.org/announcements/announcement-18aug06.htm

[Generally speaking, the quality of DNS delegation is a very common issue today.]

Many registries (CENTR, ccNSO) asked that such tests must be clearly described, and executed in a predictable way. An automatic tool, such as Zonecheck, fulfills these requirements.

Remember that using Zonecheck does not mean using AFNIC policy.



Future tests?

- DNSsec tests (see Eric Osterweil's slides)
- "OR" tests: "at least M among N nameservers", "TCP or EDNS0", . . .

Other users

- .de
- .ch
- .no

Other users

- .de
- .ch
- .no

Tomorrow, you?

http://www.zonecheck.fr/