

# Campus Network Design Workshop

## Choosing Routers for the Campus

This document is a result of work by the Network Startup Resource Center (NSRC at <http://www.nsrc.org>). This document may be freely copied, modified, and otherwise re-used on the condition that any re-use acknowledge the NSRC as the original source.



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON



# Choosing a Core Router



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON



# Core router: essential features

- Lots of fiber ports
  - SFP (1G) or SFP+ (10G)
- Robust, line-rate routing (layer 3 forwarding)
  - IPv4 and IPv6, static routes
- Sufficient ARP (IPv4) and NDP (IPv6) entries
- DHCP relay (DHCP helper)
- Management: SNMP, netflow/jflow/sflow etc




# Core router: optional features

- OSPF (v2 and v3) or IS-IS
- HSRP/VRRP
- Hardware redundancy (e.g. dual PSU)
  - But would you be better buying a whole second device?



# One super-redundant device




- Chassis failures are not unknown ☹️
- What would you do if that happened?



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON



# Two less-redundant devices



- Running "live-live" so everything is tested
- In emergency, can move key users to other side
- Key buildings can be dual-homed
  - This is where OSPF and HSRP/VRRP come in



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON



# Don't spend too much!

- Many “edge” L3 switches make fine campus core routers
- You won't be carrying a full routing table
  - So a limit of say 16K routes isn't a problem
  - Check how many IP interfaces/VLANs it supports
- Whatever you buy today will be obsolete in 3-5 years anyway
- If it's cheap you can afford two



# Cisco C3750X- $\{12,24\}$ S-E

- 12 or 24 SFP ports
  - Plus 2-4 optional uplinks ("service module")
  - Only does Netflow on the service module ports
- Stackable (up to 9 units)
- Needs *IP Services* licence for IPv6



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON





# Cisco C4500-X

- 16 or 32 SFP+ ports (1G/10G)
  - Plus optional 8-port 10GE expansion module
- IPv4/IPv6 with IP Base licence
  - Enterprise licence gives you BGP
- Can stack two, using 10GE ports (“VSS”)



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON



# Juniper EX4200-24F

- 24 SFP ports
- Optional 2x10G modules
- Stackable (up to 10)



"Advanced Feature" licence not required - only for IS-IS, BGP and MPLS

# Juniper EX4500

- 40 SFP+ ports (1G/10G)
- Optional uplink modules

*Beware limit of only 1,000 IPv6 NDP entries in hardware; use with L3 distribution switches?*



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON



# Not big enough?!

- Above this you are looking at chassis switches
- Examples:
  - Cisco 4500E
  - Juniper EX8208, EX8216



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON



# Maybe you already have one!

- Check the features of your existing devices
  - And check on forums for experiences of people using the same device for routing
- May need to enable it: “ip routing” or similar
- May need to update to latest stable firmware
- Test with a spare device if you have one

# Choosing a Border Router



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON



# Border router: essential features

- Robust, line-rate routing (layer 3 forwarding)
  - IPv4 and IPv6, static routes
- Strong CPU, Large Memory
- Sufficient ARP (IPv4) and NDP (IPv6) entries
- Management: SNMP, netflow/jflow/sflow etc
- OSPF (v2 and v3) or IS-IS
- NAT (if using internal private IPv4 address space)
- Hardware redundancy (e.g. dual PSU)
  - but would you be better buying a whole second device?



# Border router: optional features

- If Multihoming:
  - Full support for BGP
  - Ability to carry full BGP table (if needed)
  - Support of all BGP Attributes, implementing BGP policies



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON





# Sizing a Border Router

- Consider connection to upstream provider
  - Allow for headroom far greater than link capacity
  - Bandwidth upgrades needed
  - Traffic growths larger than expectation
  - Dealing with Denial of Service Attacks from outside
- Physical chassis size is irrelevant
  - Smaller the better, reduced power and space requirements
- Border router needs:
  - Internal interface (to network core)
  - External interface(s) (to upstream provider(s))
  - 1 Rack Unit is usually enough



# Typical Examples

- Cisco 7301 or Cisco 7201
  - Now end of sales, but excellent 1RU router with 3 and 4GE interfaces respectively
  - 7301 good for links up to 300Mbps (real world)
  - 7201 good for links up to 600Mbps (real world)



- Not really equivalent, but:
  - Cisco 4451 (2 RU), 4431 (1 RU) & 3945 (4 RU)
  - All offer performance similar to 7201 and 7301



# Typical Examples

- Cisco ASR 1000 Series
  - “replaces” Cisco 7201
- Popular choices:
  - ASR1001X (1RU) is popular border router
    - 2x10GE and 6x1GE interfaces
    - 2.5Gbps throughput default
    - Licence activated throughputs up to 20Gbps
  - ASR1002X (2RU)
    - 6x1GE interfaces
    - 5Gbps throughput default
    - Licence activated throughputs up to 36Gbps



# Typical Examples

- Cisco ASR 9001
  - Not really a border router, but being used as such by many operators
  - 4 built-in 10GE SFP+ interfaces, with support for 10GE and 40GE modules (2RU chassis)
  - Throughput of 120Gbps
  - Suitable for uplinks of 10Gbps and above



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON



# Typical Examples

- Juniper MX5 to MX80
  - One common chassis (2RU)
  - Model upgradable by licence
  - Throughputs from 20Gbps to 80Gbps
  - 4x 10GE interfaces (activated on MX40 & MX80)
  - Two expansion slots
- Juniper MX240 upwards
  - 6 RU chassis
  - Throughput of 480Gbps
  - Four expansion slots (no builtin interfaces)



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON



# Summary

- Core Router
  - Focus on scalability, sufficient CPU to ensure current and immediate future needs
  - Router or “L3 Switch” is often appropriate, as routing needs in the Core are not onerous
- Border Router
  - Physical size unimportant → small!
  - Needs v few interfaces
  - Needs big CPU to handle border functions
  - Consider future BGP needs



# Questions?

This document is a result of work by the Network Startup Resource Center (NSRC at <http://www.nsrc.org>). This document may be freely copied, modified, and otherwise re-used on the condition that any re-use acknowledge the NSRC as the original source.



UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

