Comparing IS-IS and OSPF

ISP Workshops

Comparing IS-IS and OSPF

Both are Link State Routing Protocols using the Dijkstra SPF Algorithm

So what's the difference then?

And why do ISP engineers end up arguing so much about which is superior?

OSPF

- Open Shortest Path First
- □ Open:
 - Meaning an Open Standard
 - Developed by IETF (OSPF Working Group) for IP – RFC1247
 - Current standard is OSPFv2 (RFC2328)
- Shortest Path First:
 - Edsger Dijkstra's algorithm for producing shortest path tree through a graph
 - Dijkstra, E. W. (1959). "A note on two problems in connexion with graphs". *Numerische Mathematik* 1: 269–271

IS-IS

- Intermediate System to Intermediate System
- ISO 10589 specifies OSI IS-IS routing protocol for CLNS traffic
 - A Link State protocol with a 2 level hierarchical architecture
 - Type/Length/Value (TLV) options to enhance the protocol
- □ RFC 1195 added IP support
 - Integrated IS-IS
 - I/IS-IS runs on top of the Data Link Layer

IS-IS & OSPF:

Similarities

- Both are Interior Gateway Protocols (IGP)
 - They distribute routing information between routers belonging to a single Autonomous System (AS)
- □ With support for:
 - Classless Inter-Domain Routing (CIDR)
 - Variable Subnet Length Masking (VLSM)
 - Authentication
 - Multi-path
 - IP unnumbered links

IS-IS and OSPF Terminology

OSPF

- Host
- Router
- Link
- Packet
- Designated router (DR)
- Backup DR (BDR)
- Link-State Advertisement (LSA)
- Hello packet
- Database Description (DBD)

IS-IS

- End System (ES)
- Intermediate System (IS)
- Circuit
- Protocol Data Unit (PDU)
- Designated IS (DIS)
- N/A (no BDIS is used)
- Link-State PDU (LSP)
- □ IIH PDU
- Complete sequence number PDU (CSNP)

IS-IS and OSPF Terminology (Cont.)

OSPF

- Area
- Non-backbone area
- Backbone area
- Area Border Router (ABR)
- Autonomous SystemAny IS **Boundary Router** (ASBR)

IS-IS

- Sub domain (area)
- □ Level-1 area
- Level-2 Sub domain (backbone)
- □ L1L2 router

Transport

OSPF uses IP Protocol 89 as transport

□ IS-IS is directly encapsulated in Layer 2

Data Link Header IS-IS Header IS-IS Data

For Service Providers

- Which IGP should an ISP choose?
 - Both OSPF and IS-IS use Dijkstra SPF algorithm
 - Exhibit same convergence properties
 - IS-IS less widely implemented on router platforms
 - IS-IS runs on data link layer, OSPF runs on IP layer
- Why do we keep discussing the merits of each IGP?

For Service Providers

- Biggest ISPs tend to use IS-IS why?
 - In early 1990s, Cisco implementation of IS-IS was much more stable and reliable than OSPF implementation – ISPs naturally preferred IS-IS
 - Main IS-IS implementations more tuneable than equivalent OSPF implementations – because biggest ISPs using IS-IS put more pressure on Cisco to implement "knobs"

For Service Providers

- Moving forward a decade
 - Early Cisco OSPF implementation substantially rewritten
 - Now competitive with IS-IS in features and performance
 - Router vendors wishing a slice of the core market need an IS-IS implementation as solid and as flexible as that from Cisco
 - Those with IS-IS & OSPF support tend to ensure they exhibit performance and feature parity

How to choose an IGP?

OSPF

- Rigid area design all networks must have area 0 core, with sub-areas distributed around
- Suits ISPs with central high speed core network linking regional PoPs

How to choose an IGP?

□ IS-IS

- Relaxed two level design L2 routers must be linked through the backbone
- Suits ISPs with "stringy" networks, diverse infrastructure, etc, not fitting central core model of OSPF
- More flexible than OSPF, but easier to make mistakes too

Considerations

- "Security"
 - IS-IS runs on link layer
 - Not possible to "attack" the IGP using IP as with OSPF
- Not dependent on IP addressing
 - IS-IS's NSAP addressing scheme avoids dependencies on IP as with OSPF
- "Reliability"
 - IS-IS has long been used by the majority of the world's biggest ISPs
 - Belief that equipment vendors pay more attention to IS-IS reliability, scalability, and features

More considerations

- Migration to IPv6
 - Adding IPv6 means OSPFv2 and OSPFv3 in network
 - Two protocols, two sets of identical configuration
 - IS-IS simply requires the addition of the IPv6 addressfamily
 - Most networks operate single topology for IPv4 and IPv6
 - Is this why there is now RFC5838 describing support of multiple address families in OSPFv3?
 - Vendor support?

Comparing IS-IS and OSPF

ISP Workshops