# Securing network infrastructure

Matsuzaki 'maz' Yoshinobu <maz@iij.ad.jp>

#### **Our Goals**

- Ensuring Network Availability
- Controlling Routing Policy
- Protecting Information
- Preventing Misuse
- Mitigating Attacks
- Responding to Incidents
- etc.

#### Risks


#### operations



- unauthorized access
- DoS
- route injection
- untraceable incident



# protecting devices



#### AAA server and remote access

- Authentication, Authorization, Accounting
  - tacacs, radius
- each operators has own login account
  - You can set privileges per tasks of the operator
- logging at AAA servers
  - where (device)
  - who (login account)
  - what (command)

#### Remote Access to Devices

- in-band access
  - vty, snmp, ntp, etc...
  - IP reachability is required
  - useful for daily operations
- out-of-band access
  - serial console
  - workable without IP reachability
  - useful for restoration

#### Access Control for in-band access


- operations need to access remote devices
  - to manage the devices
- packet filtering on vty, snmp and etc
  - to protect devices from unauthorized access
  - allow access from trusted network only
    - source IP address based filtering

#### step hosts

- are placed on a trusted network
- useful to enforce more restricted control
- each operations has own login account


- logging on step hosts
  - typescript of a VTY session
  - login/logout

### access control per services



# Received/Router ACL (rACL)

access control against control plane




#### infrastructure ACL

- to protect our management traffic
  - not too much
  - ping, traceroute to our devices should be workable
- deny packets from INFRA to INFRA on edge
  - INFRA: routers, step hosts and so on
    - these ip range should be stayed inside

# Infrastructure ACL (iACL)


enforce a policy on the network edge




maz@iij.ad.jp

12

#### multiple ACLs to protect Devices



# protecting devices



# config audit

- configuration files are periodically gathered
  - by in-house automated tool
- sanity check
  - filtering rules
  - routing configuration
  - and so on

#### monitoring

- what's happened in the past
- syslog
  - to record messages from devices/softwares
- snmp
  - to monitor resources
- netflow
  - to monitor packet flows

# syslog messages



Nov 9 15:19:14.390 UTC:
 config[65775]: %MGBL-SYS-5-CONFIG\_I:
 Configured from console by maz on vty0
 (2001:db8:120:100:e1dd:97f3:fd98:a51f)



Nov 12 13:53:38 maz sudo: maz : user NOT in sudoers; TTY=pts/3; PWD=/home/maz;
 USER=root; COMMAND=/bin/bash

#### synced timestamp

- makes log messages useful
  - to compare incidents among devices
  - to compare time-related events
- Use ntp to sync clocks
  - choose a proper clock source
    - national ntp server
    - stable clocks
      - ATOM, GPS

#### clock = oscillation + counter

- TAI = weighted average of atom clocks
  - TAI: International Atomic Time
- UTC = TAI + leap seconds
  - UTC: Coordinated Universal Time
  - leap seconds: to adjust clock to Earth's rotation
- atom clocks are adjusted to TAI
- localtime = UTC + timezone (+ summer time)

### leap second


- The next leap second will be introduced on 30 June 2015 23:59:60 UTC
- make sure your applications works as usual even the leap second introduced
  - https://git.kernel.org/cgit/linux/kernel/git/torvald s/linux.git/commit/?id=6b43ae8a619d17c4935c3 320d2ef9e92bdeed05d

### remote logging


- log messages could be modified/deleted
  - if the system is compromised
  - limited memory buffered log messages
- remote logging server



- syslog-ng
- enough storage there



# protecting syslog




maz@iij.ad.jp

#### snmp

- can read/write information and send a trap
  - use version 3, and set password
  - prevent 'write' function, or just disable it on agents
  - put ACL to prevent unauthorized access
- require a little disk space on snmp manager
  - useful to check long-term trend

# snmp monitoring system



maz@iij.ad.jp

#### snmp MIB

- Management information base
  - MIB-2, IF-MIB, vender-specific MIB
  - you can get information if an agent supports the MIB you want
- you can specify the information by OIDs
  - if HCinOctets = .1.3.6.1.2.1.31.1.1.1.6
  - if HCOutOctets = .1.3.6.1.2.1.31.1.1.1.10

#### snmp counters

- frequency of updating counters
  - depends on agents (0-30sec)
  - 5min is widely used as snmp polling time
- counter overflow
  - 32bit counters(ifIn/OutOctets) could wrap in5.7min at 100Mbps
  - consider 64bit counters(ifHCInOctets) for 1Gbps
     or more interfaces

#### useful information via SNMP MIBs


- interface
  - bytes, packets, errors
- system
  - cpu load
  - memory usage
  - temperature
  - icmp, udp
  - ntp


#### snmp use case


- usage monitoring
  - bandwidth and traffic volume
- visualize
  - stackable graph
    - useful for multiple links between POPs
  - grouping
    - international links
    - |X

#### visualize

#### RRDtools








#### netflow

- to monitor flow information
  - packet header
  - most routers support it
- require more storage
  - even with sampling, still need to expect huge data
  - not for long term monitoring
- useful for analysis and anomaly detection

# netflow and sampling

- sampled netflow is widely used
  - just to know trend
  - to reduce data
- margin of error
  - sampled netflow and actual traffic
  - depends on routers
  - worst case: 20%
- IIJ uses magic number as sampling rate
  - -1/16382

# netflow monitoring system




maz@iij.ad.jp

### netflow analysis


- combination of parameters
  - AS, IP address, protocol, port number
  - too many patterns to pre-generate every graphs
- Graphs
  - pre-defined graphs
  - dynamic graph system

#### case 1: bps


traffic was suddenly doubled on a link



also found a missing traffic



#### case 1: 2 links between routers




# case 1: total traffic: bps




### case 2: bps

- traffic decreased
- There is no routing change in the network




# case 2: netflow graph(dst AS)



- the dst AS based graph shows
  - missing traffic to several ASes
  - traffic to the other ASes also a bit decreased


# case 2: netflow graph(src AS)



- traffic from a particular AS(blue) was gone
- probably something was happened on the AS(blue)
  - trouble or route change


# case 3: bps

• traffic looks stable




### case 3: pps

 pps(packets/sec) graph shows something anomaly




# traceback by a shape

if the traffic pattern is enough characteristic,
 you can traceback to the inbound interface




# case 3: netflow graph(dst AS, pps)



 according to dst AS based graph, the anomaly traffic was directed to a particular AS(yellow)

### case 3: netflow graph(protocol, pps)




the traffic profile was mostly UDP

### monitoring and detection

- snmp is useful to check
  - trend
  - threshold
- netflow is useful to analysis
  - anomaly
  - change


## Operational Design




#### Think of All Devices

- The following problem was recently reported and affects low-end CPEs (ADSL connections only)
  - Admin password exposed via web interface
  - Allow WAN management (this means anyone on Internet)
  - Bug fixed and reintroduced depending on the firmware version
- The bug is quite a number of years old

#### Password Visible via the Web UI




### The senario



#### **Numbers**

- 4.5 Million CPEs (ADSL Modems) using a unique malicious DNS
- In early 2012 more than 300,000 CPEs still infected
- 40 malicious DNS servers found


### reflection attacks




### amplifiers

- smurf attack
  - directed broadcast
  - amplification ratio: ~100
- dns amplification attack
  - a huge size record
  - amplification ratio: ~60
- ntp amplification attack
  - monlist query
  - amplification ratio: ~200


# dns amp attack



# ntp amp attack



### solutions against ip reflection attacks



#### client authorization

- Incoming interface base
  - useful for home users and enterprises
  - allow from inside, deny from outside
- source IP address base
  - useful for service providers
  - allow from customer network

 you can simply disable the service if it's not necessary

#### BCP38

- A "Best Current Practice" document of the IETF. BCP38(RFC2827) is intended to limit the impact of DDoS attacks by:
  - Denying traffic with spoofed source address
  - Helping to ensure that traffic is traceable to its correct source network


### Addressing and Users

- ISP/network administrator assigns IP prefix(es) to their users
  - dynamic or static
  - DHCP, PPP, RA
- Users should use these assigned IP prefixes as their source IP address

## BCP38 implementation


- ACL
  - packet filter
  - permit valid-source, then drop any
- uRPF check
  - checks incoming packets using 'routing table'
  - look-up a return path for the source IP address
  - loose mode can't stop most misuse
    - use strict mode

## deployment point




- ISP Edge (customer aggregation) router
  - close to packet source as possible

# cisco ACL example




```
ip access-list extended from CUSTMER4
permit ip 192.168.0.0 0.0.255.255 any
permit ip 10.0.0.0 0.0.0.3 any
deny ip any any
IPv6 access-list fromCUSTMER6
permit ipv6 2001:db8::/64 any
permit ipv6 any 2001:db8::/64 any
permit ipv6 2001:db8:ff::/48 any
permit ipv6 fe80::/10 fe80::/10
permit ipv6 fe80::/10 ff02::/16
deny ipv6 any any
interface Gigabitethernet0/0
ip access-group from CUSTOMER4 in
ipv6 traffic-filter fromCUSTOMER6 in
```

# juniper IPv4 ACL example




```
firewall family inet {
filter fromCUSTOMER4 {
 term CUSTOMER4 { from
 source-address {
  192.168.0.0/16;
  10.0.0.0/30;
 then accept;
 term Default {
 then discard;
}}}
[edit interface ge-0/0/0 unit 0 family inet]
filter {
input fromCUSTOMER;
```

# juniper IPv6 ACL example




```
firewall family inet6 {
filter from CUSTOMER6 {
 term CUSTOMER6 { from
 source-address {
  2001:db8::/64;
  2001:db8:ff::/48;
 then accept;
 term LINKLOCAL { from
  source-address {
   fe80::/10;
  } destination-address {
   fe80::/10;
   ff02::/16;
  then accept;
 term Default {
 then discard;
}}}
[edit interface ge-0/0/0 unit 0 family inet6]
filter {
input from CUSTOMER6;
```

# cisco uRPF example




# juniper uRPF example



# packet forwarding — dst-ip based


- routing\_table(dst-ip) => outgoing interface
  - lookup by 10.0.0.1 => if.i
  - then router forwards the packet



ip: 192.0.2.1


## uRPF check – lookup by the src-ip

- routing\_table(src-ip) => interface
  - lookup by 192.0.2.1 => if.o
  - The result MUST match the incoming interface



ip: 192.0.2.1

# IIJ's policy




maz@iij.ad.jp

# blackhole routing

- routers are good at forwarding
  - not packet filtering
- use the forwarding function to discard packets
  - null routing

#### **RTBH**

Remote Triggered Black Hole




maz@iij.ad.jp

### uRPF and blackhole routing

- you can drop a packet that has source ip matches those blackhole route
  - cisco and juniper(>junos12.1)
- source IP address based filtering

## RTBH w/ uRPF

Remote Triggered Black Hole



maz@iij.ad.jp

# packet filtering for transit traffic

- IP is not that simple
  - IP fragments
  - path MTU discovery


IPv6, DNSSEC and so on

# Path MTU Discovery

- Path MTU discovery [RFC1191]
- Path MTU discovery for IPv6 [RFC1981]

- IPv4 minimum link MTU [RFC791] == 68
  - 576 is widely accepted though
- IPv6 minimum link MTU [RFC2460] == 1280

# path MTU discovery scenario



# icmp originating-limit

#### cisco ios


- ip icmp rate-limit unreachable 500
  - icmp errors are limited to one every 500msec
- ipv6 icmp error-interval 100
  - icmp errors are limited to one every 100msec

#### juniper junos

- icmpv4-rate-limit {packet-rate 1000;};
  - up to 1000pps icmp packets to/from RE
- icmpv6-rate-limit {packet-rate 1000;};
  - up to 1000pps icmp packets to/from RE

# IPv4 pMTUd fails

Percentage of RIPE Atlas probes where all ICMPv4 echo requests were not answered at various packet sizes (zoom)




https://labs.ripe.net/Members/emileaben/ripe-atlas-packet-size-matters

maz@iij.ad.jp 77

### IPv6 as well

Percentage of RIPE Atlas probes where all ICMPv6 echo requests were not answered at various packet sizes (zoom)




https://labs.ripe.net/Members/emileaben/ripe-atlas-packet-size-matters

# learning from IPv4

- Almost of all broadband routers have a TCP MSS hack capability
- It chokes TCP MSS on a tunnel link
  - PPPoE, or whatever the link MTU is less than 1500
  - to avoid unnecessary fallbacks
- The TCP MSS hack works fine
  - No complaint from customers

### TCP MSS hack



both ends agree to use 1414 as MSS size


# still we need pMTUd

- MSS hack work only for TCP
  - UDP, and any other protocols
- do not filter ICMP error messages without consideration

# **Protecting Routing**

- To keep your network working
  - as you designed
  - as you configured
- Static Routing
  - mostly depends on design
- Dynamic Routing
  - possibility of remote attacks

## **Routing Protocol**



 Routers exchange routing information over a neighboring relationship.

# Threat Model for Routing

- Neighboring Relationship
  - Unexpected Neighboring
  - Shutdown by Someone else
  - Spoofed Neighbor
- Routing Information
  - Propagation of Wrong Information
  - Unintended Routing Policy
  - Hit a Hardware Limitation

## **OSPF Neighbors**

- Establishing a relationship among trusted neighbors only
- Disabled by default
  - Especially on a link to other parties (IX,customer)
    - to avoid unexpected neighbors
    - if you have to enable on these links, use 'passive' feature
  - Enabled where it is needed like backbone
- Authentication
  - MD5 authentication (OSPFv2, RFC2328)

# OSPF md5 configuration

cisco

```
interface <interface_name>
ip ospf authentication message-digest
ip ospf message-digest-key <keyid#> md5 <md5_key>
```

juniper

```
protocols ospf {
  area <area#> {
  interface <interface_name> {
    authentication {
      md5 <keyid#> key "<md5_key>";
    }
  }
}
```

## **BGP4 Neighbors**

- Protecting TCP sessions
  - md5 authentication
- Peering with other parties
  - possibility of injection
  - needs more attention about routing information

# BGP md5 configuration

cisco

```
router bgp <as#>
neighbor <neighbor_ip> password <md5_key>
```

juniper

```
protocols bgp {
  neighbor <neighbor_ip> {
    authentication-key "<md5_key>";
}
```

# Protecting routing information

#### OSPF

- mostly relies on neighboring
- IGP should be used for internal purpose
  - should not be used to share routing information with your customers

#### BGP

routing information is more problematic

### critical routing information inside AS

- iBGP neighbor
  - usually loopback interface
  - /32 announcement by IGP
    - the most preferred
- BGP nexthop
  - typical BGP nexthop
    - IX segment
    - peering link
    - customer link
  - route filtering on eBGP sessions
    - needs care about more-specifics